## Villagers of Village Doongri Khurd Vs. State of Rajasthan \& Ors.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DALIP SINGH, JUDICIAL MEMBER HON'BLE MR. P.S.RAO, EXPERT MEMBER

```
PRESENT : Applicant :
    Respondent No.1&3 :
```

None appeared
Mr. Sachin Verma, Advocate
Shri Suman Mandal, Advocate

| Date <br> Remarks and | Orders of the Tribunal |
| :---: | :---: |
| Order no. 4 <br> $20^{\text {th }}$ March, $2014$ | Shri Suman Mandal, learned counsel for the Rajasthan State Pollution Control Board has filed the reply. The same is taken on record along with the documents. <br> Shri Sachin Verma, learned counsel for the State of Rajasthan prays for an adjournment on the ground that he has not received the file after its transfer from the Hon'ble Rajasthan High Court. <br> Learned counsel Shri Suman Mandal, Advocate appearing for the State Pollution Control Board submitted that out of 12 mines under operation, only six have been granted P.C. and for the remaining P.C. has not been granted and thus have been ordered to be closed-down. <br> Shri Suman Mandal, Advocate is directed to furnishing the details of the same with an advance copy to Shri Sachin |


|  | Verma who would verify the facts through the District <br> Collector/Respondent No. 4 and the affidavit of Respondent <br> No. 4 shall be filed whether such mines to whom permissions <br> have not been granted as mentioned in the affidavit of State <br> Pollution Control Board, are under operation or whether have <br> been closed down. <br> Shri Suman Mandal, Advocate is directed to submit the |
| :--- | :--- |
| aforesaid information within one week from today. |  |

